

- 1 Use a different color. (As you enter ...)
- 2 Requirements that are agreed (+)
- 3 Requirements that are controversial (-)
- 4 Things we need to discuss today (?)
- 5 What to add

1.0

AGREE

- PARTICIPATORY ENGAGEMENT (⊖)
- BROAD COMMUNITY INVESTMENT
- DISTRIBUTE SMALL TASKS
- + • DEFINING AUDIENCE TO ENGAGE WITH (+) (+) (+)
- IDENTITY VALUE FOR AUDIENCES (+) (+) (+)

RECOMMEND

→ FEASIBLE @ START?

- CONSIDER ^{FREE} ~~FREE~~-BASED SYSTEM WITH ≠ USER TYPES
- + • CLARIFY QUESTION ON FUNDING SOURCE/ SEED GRANT

MISSING: CLARITY ON BENEFIT (+) (+) -
 INCENTIVE TO PARTICIPATE (+) (+)

RECONSIDER BOTTOM-UP APPROACH (⊖)
 (+) CREATE COMMUNITIES OF LEARNING WITH MODE-

RATOR / INTERPRETER (+) (+)
 CLARIFY GOVERNANCE (+) TO CONTRIBUTORS
 Manage power/politics - watch for groups
 advancing particular agendas (+)

USERS W/O LOG-IN
 (+) (+)


app power / politics - watch for groups
funding particular agendas (+)

ALL USERS SHALL BE EQUAL (IN ACCESS) (+)

MODERATE USERS W/O LOG-IN

+ TRACK OTHERS (+)
CREATE POWER USERS: THE

MORE / BETTER CONTRIBUTIONS
THE MORE FUNCTIONS BECOME
AVAILABLE (+)



to ask in future?

What is the knowledge


INCLUDE THE RIGHT STUFF

2.0

AGREE

REC.

+ concerns



- defining what is 'right' should be done at the outset by a broadly inclusive group; published peer reviewed - and revisit periodically
- not a single decision maker & not Wikipedia (if)
- process to review relevance & process to archive
- Accredited other efforts w/ overlapping criteria (if) & inform user (2a, b) [over-Access] (1c.)
- Find other related efforts & learn from them & what they can teach Accredited (e.g. Better Eval)
- Foster learning groups to do things like i.d. gaps; technical improvements + (+)
- What is "priority" & "ownership" (3bc)
- to get started do market survey & pick topics of interest to users
- create publishing outlets; ^{encourage} ~~regulate~~ publ.)
- document the predecessor to ^{the} program you are evaluating & previous evals.
- create incentives to contribute? (+)

What is the "learning community"

EEN

3.0

AGREE

Prototype - determine minimum viable product (MVP) - particularly if this is what you shop around

(+)

(+)

(+)

- include list of additional possible features for future

> Find out what users need most +

> Create an adaptable platform

> Determine methods for how to interpret

(+)

> Learn from Issuelab +

Content

ADDITION

> Reuse or draw out usable elements from others (+)

> Get volunteers to test out synthesis - include in beta testing (+)

> Do more to explain beta testing - what involved (e.g.)

> Expect course corrections (+)

> Start around key issue areas (e.g. energy-water-foot nexus) to build interest (-)

want about "key"

ABOUT CONTENT

> Also learn from what hasn't worked / failure ^{3.0}
intent contributions on failure

> Determine how to define boundaries of search & exploration

ADDITION

> Have different levels / modules of access for

⊕ different uses (exposed to diff levels of complexity based on use)

+ - Start with ^{focused} modules rather than trying

⊕ to do a bunch at once

maybe you don't do every thing at first,
but what you do you do well

2. include the right stuff

Collect and retain the "best"

Establish clear inclusion criteria, e.g. is it environmental evaluation or public health?

Minimum quality requirements.

Make use of existing sources like Better Evaluation

Standards, boundaries, and transparent decisions about what

Establish clear criteria for inclusion; what crosses over the border from environmental evaluation to other sectors such as health?

Single decision-maker with clear criteria, guidance

I will need to know more about this - include a report when I get it

4.0 Keep it Simple

Agree

- ⊖ - no password ^{analyze} ^{track} ^{use/users}
- expert ^{review} involved (to make it easy to use)
- ⊕ - usability testing
- ⊕ - Pilot testing
- ⊕ - use best of library science

4.0 Keep it simple

Language:

Avoid jargon, acronyms, exclusionary language
Explain all jargon, terminology clearly.

Ease of use:

Usability testing

Expert review

Pilot test each phase.

Usability; if the interface of this repository can't be used intuitively people will not like using

Easy entry; Easy navigation, easy search

Quick response time

Common standards:

Wiki-style

ADA compliant

Effective information architecture

Use the best of library science

Simple, transparent categories

There must be some kind of taxonomy for querying tables in advance of artificial intelligence.

Establish a template and/or protocol for use cases and recruit lots of folks to contribute these.

Recommend

- Discuss "wiki style" - meaning? Do we want?
- * - Discuss how library science achieves/supports "effective information architecture"
- Discuss relationship bet. "Keep simple" and "state of art"
- Use glossaries to explain key concepts/terms (linked/hypertexted) - retaining imp. ^{lexicons}
- ⊕ - Promote consistency of tools/access/reports/search
- Defn overview - concise
- UI should have flexibility to allow creation of their own queries (when pulling data) to be really easy + fast

- DISCUSS wiki style - means? Do we want?
- DISCUSS how library science achieves/supports "effective information architecture" (+)
- Discuss relationship bet. "Keep simple" and "state of a"
 - Use glossaries to explain key concepts/terms (linked/hypertexted) - retaining impt. ~~the~~ lexicons
 - Promote consistency of tools/access/reports/search
 - Ref^a overview - concise

- UI should have flexibility to allow creation of their own queries (when pulling data)
- Data entry/upload needs to be really easy + fast
- ⊕ ⊕ or no one will upload info
- Need to provide flexible list for keywords to ~~⊕~~
- + promote consistency (pollinator, pollinators, bees, bumble bee)

5. Make it a learning system

Recommendation: define learning ~~system~~ system ✕
(Options: User-driven
evaluator-driven)

User-driven learning system - how do evaluation well?

- ✕ Characterize user base, gap analysis, allow for evolution
- actively involve users in governance - user board, technical working
- similar question query option - FAQ's
 - keyword match for questions
 - moderated or passive
- Lessons learned / summaries
- ? How to capture what users learned? more beyond just a database

Evaluator-driven learning system - double / triple loop learning - how make evaluation better

- ✕ ? User feedback (on what?) anonymous (-) / curated / rate reviewers
- Form learning community / **How build work with as linked in?**
- User registration can help learning **common formation**
- ResearchGate model? can help **interest group formation of cross-disciplinary connections** ✕

5.0 Make it a learning system

- 1 Use a login system so you know who's using it, perhaps a username with no password?
- 2 Do "use cases" in the beginning to understand what users will actually do and how they will do it and what they need.
- 3 User feedback.
- 4 A safe place (anonymous) for posting lessons learned and failures and problems and challenges.
- 5 Make ArchEE an open source site. **Interest group formation of cross-disciplinary connections**
- 6 ArchEE creates competition in the education market. **Clarity**

6.0 SUSTAIN

Agree

- > cultivation; outreach⁺ + ⁺
- > develop a plan; model for maintenance⁺⁺
- > ~~need~~; development?
- > clear role for data mgmt; maintenance⁺ +
- > clear lead ⁺ open governance ? ⁺⁺

Recommend/Add

- > Design an adaptive & phased biz model ?
- > Identify & cultivate partners +
- > Clearly articulate value +
- > Explore alternative funding models, e.g.?
"freemium"⁻, Subscription⁻, custom searches
- > distinguish roles that require technical expertise⁺

ATTREE

- > lead org needs...
- (ch...

6.0 Sustain It

Technical environment
Develop a place and a model for long term maintenance.
Arrange sustained funding for long-term maintenance and curation.
Software becomes vulnerable and requires patching.

Funding
Find out if a subscription model would work - is there willingness to pay? Or a donation model like Wikipedia?
Establish a funding mechanism.
Fundraising for administration, development, maintenance of the site.

Management
Collective decision making, etc.
The community needs cultivation and outreach.
Collaborative ownership to ensure the role.

Accountability
Don't start something you need to maintain.
You need...

Environmental Evaluators Network
Forum des Évaluateurs Environnementaux

6.0 Sustain It

Technical environment
 Develop a place and a model for long term maintenance.
 Arrange sustained funding for long-term maintenance and curation.
 Software becomes vulnerable and requires patching;

Funding
 Find out if a subscription model would work – is there willingness to pay like Wikipedia?
 Establish a funding mechanism.
 Fundraising for administration, development, maintenance of the server management of content, etc.

Collective decision making
 • The community needs cultivation and outreach
 • Collaborative ownership to ensure diverse voices in the development and role.
 Don't start something that requires consensus that dictates whether the project

Accountability:
 You need a lead person or organization to make it happen; there should be a
 An organization that hosts responsibly.
 • A clear organizational structure – who's responsible for what – paid positions
 responsibilities among groups or something similar.
 • Establish a home institution that can organize funding and maintenance/technical
 • These tasks must be somebody's job who is responsible and accountable.
 Complete annual assessment and adaptation

AGREE

> lead org needs to be "in charge" (don't represent vested interest)

> Continuum learning; assessment

DISAGREE:

> sustained funding not possible

ADD:

> multiple donors (+) (+)

> clear network charter; member charter
 Principles → enduring

* > pick a technical partner that is growing/changing (application fusion; modularity)